

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/693,530	10/24/2003		David L. Rieschick	291448-00136	2308	
3705	7590	11/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT				MORRISON,	MORRISON, THOMAS A	
600 GRANT STREET 44TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219				3653	<u></u>	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/693,530	RIESCHICK, DAVID L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	ıgust 2006.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-12,14 and 18-21 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 12,14 and 18-21 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,5 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,3,6 and 8-11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				
3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	mann, Abusanian				

Application/Control Number: 10/693,530 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claim 4 is withdrawn. The examiner failed to fully appreciate the disclosure of the background section of the instant application. The examiner regrets any inconvenience that may result from this new Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. 20020121738 in view of the numbered paragraphs [0003] and [0004] in the background section of the instant application. The background section of the instant application has been considered admitted prior art.

Regarding claim 1, Figs. 1-4 of U.S. Patent Publication No. 20020121738 show a paper deliverer (10) for a sheeter, the deliverer having a first set of belts (22) in a first conveyer section (16), a second set of belts (22) in a second conveyer section (18) and a third set of belts (22) in a third conveyer section (20). These conveyer sections (16, 18 and 20) are arranged to be coplanar. See Fig. 4 and the numbered paragraph [0020] of U.S. Patent Publication No. 20020121738.

Also, a rippler (including 28 and 30) is disposed between at least some of the first belts (22), the rippler (including 28 and 30) defining a ridge (28) structured to cause a paper passing over the rippler (including 28 and 30) to develop a ripple (38)

substantially parallel to a direction of movement (MF) within the deliverer. See Fig. 2 for the ripple (38) that is formed parallel to the direction of movement of the sheet. As mentioned above, U.S. Patent Publication No. 20020121738 includes a plurality of conveyer sections with conveyer belts. Thus, U.S. Patent Publication No. 20020121738 does not specifically show first and second sets of vacuum belts and a vacuum roller, as claimed.

Page 3

However, the numbered paragraph [0003] of the background section of the instant application discloses that it is well known to use a vacuum sheeter as an alternative to belts, in which the vacuum sheeter includes a first set of vacuum belts (line 4 of paragraph [0003]), each belt within the first set having a pair of ends with a pulley at each end (lines 1-2 of paragraph [0003]), and a plurality of apertures defined along the belts (line 3 of paragraph [0003]), and a vacuum chamber disposed below top surfaces of the first set of vacuum belts (lines 2-3 of paragraph [0003]), structured to provide a suction through the apertures (lines 3-4 of paragraph [0003]);

a vacuum roller (line 5 of paragraph [0003]) disposed adjacent to one end of the first set of vacuum belts. The vacuum roller inherently defines a pair of ends. The vacuum roller defines a hollow interior (line 8 of paragraph [0003]), and at least one row of apertures (lines 6-7 of paragraph [0003]), each row of apertures extending axially down a length of the vacuum roller (lines 6-7 of paragraph [0003]), the vacuum roller further comprising a vacuum fitting in communication with the hollow interior (line 8 of paragraph [0003]); and

Application/Control Number: 10/693,530

Art Unit: 3653

a second set of vacuum belts (line 9 of paragraph [0003]) disposed adjacent to the vacuum roller (line 10 of paragraph [0003]), each belt within the second set having a pair of ends with a pulley at each end, and a plurality of apertures defined along the belts. See lines 9-10 of paragraph [0003] which explain that the second set of vacuum belts are similar to the first set but are moving at a slower speed.

Also, lines 9-11 of paragraph [0003] explain that the vacuum roller forms one of the pulleys for the second set of vacuum belts. It is well known in the art to replace belts with a vacuum belt arrangement for the purpose of more securely holding sheets down on such vacuum belt arrangement. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to replace the belt arrangement (i.e., conveyer sections 16, 18 and 20) of U.S. Patent Publication No. 20020121738 with the vacuum sheeter arrangement (i.e., first set of vacuum belts, vacuum roller, and second set of vacuum belts) of the numbered paragraph [0003] of the background section of the instant application, because this merely involves replacing one well-known conveying arrangement with another well known conveying arrangement (vacuum sheeter) that performs substantially the same function with the added advantage of the vacuum sheeter arrangement being able to more securely hold sheets during conveyance. Providing the first set of vacuum belts, the vacuum roller and the second set of vacuum belts of numbered paragraph [0003] in place of the aligned and coplanar belt arrangement in Fig. 4 of U.S. Patent Publication No. 20020121738, will result in the vacuum roller being substantially parallel to the pulleys of the first set of vacuum belts,

and the second set of vacuum belts being coplanar with the first set of vacuum belts, as claimed.

Regarding claim 5, the combination of U.S. Patent Publication No. 20020121738 and the admitted prior art of paragraphs [0003] and [0004] of the background section disclose all of the elements of claim 5, except for the number of rows of holes in the vacuum roller. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide the vacuum roller with four rows of holes, since applicant has not disclosed that providing more or less rows of holes solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally with more rows of holes. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide four rows of holes to provide sufficient suction to the vacuum roller to convey sheets.

Regarding claim 7, line 14 of paragraph [0003] discloses a drive means.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 12, 14 and 18-21 are allowed. Claims 2-3, 6 and 8-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,530 Page 6

Art Unit: 3653

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Morrison whose telephone number is (571) 272-7221. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on (571) 272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

11/03/2006

PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600